

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,696	01/27/2004	Dirk Weichholdt	09229-US	1431
7590 11/01/2004			EXAMINER	
Kevin J. Moriarty			PETRAVICK, MEREDITH C	
Patent Departm DEERE & CO		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One John Deer	e Place	3671		
Moline, IL 61265-8098			DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4.	A				
		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Antique Commence		10/76	65,696	WEICHHOLDT, D	DIRK			
Οπί	ce Action Summary	Exam	niner	Art Unit				
			dith C Petravick	3671				
The M. Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this commun	ication appears o	n the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
THE MAILING - Extensions of tin after SIX (6) MO - If the period for r - If NO period for r - Failure to reply v Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD F- B DATE OF THIS COMMUNI ne may be available under the provisions NTHS from the mailing date of this comm reply specified above is less than thirty (3 reply is specified above, the maximum sta vithin the set or extended period for reply ed by the Office later than three months a m adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. 0) days, a reply within th atutory period will apply a will, by statute, cause th	no event, however, may a restatutory minimum of third and will expire SIX (6) MON the application to become AB	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered time ITHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Respon	sive to communication(s) file	d on						
<u> </u>		 2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of C	laims							
4a) Of th 5)	i) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the apme above claim(s) is/are is/are allowed. i) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected. i) <u>3-9</u> is/are objected to. i) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn fron						
Application Pape	ers							
9)∏ The spe	cification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
,	wing(s) filed on <u>27 January 2</u>		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	ner.			
	nt may not request that any object	_						
	ment drawing sheet(s) including n or declaration is objected to		· -	• •				
Priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119							
a)⊠ All I 1.⊠ C 2.□ C 3.□ C	ledgment is made of a claim of Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies of the the Internation of the Certifice action	documents have documents have of the priority doc nal Bureau (PCT	been received. been received in A cuments have been Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National	l Stage			
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of Refer	ences Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔯 Information Dis	sperson's Patent Drawing Review (P dosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or ail Date 1/27/04, 6/18/04.			s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PT 	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/765,696

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. References have been crossed off on the IDS filed 1/27/2004 since they also appear on the IDS filed 6/18/2004. This is to avoid duplicate printing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Persson 6,656,038.

Persson discloses a combine (Fig. 7) including:

- a separating assembly (7) with a first crop residue stream (3)
- a cleaning assembly (15) with a second crop residue stream (2)

- a straw chopper (8) with a horizontal axis and transverse rotational axis and a center plane (Fig. 7)

- a conveyor device (17 and 23)) which conveys the second crop residue stream from the cleaning assembly to the straw chopper (Fig. 7)

The conveyor divides the crop residue stream into two partial streams (streams from outlets 21 in position H, see Fig. 7). The conveyor device is designed to feed the second crop residue stream to the straw chopper in a direction extending perpendicular to the rotational axis of he straw chopper (see portion of stream leaving outlet 21 in Figure 7).

Regarding claim 2, the conveyor device loads the straw chopper in a tangential direction after in hits deflector plate 23.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kersting et al. 5,021,028 shows a thresher that moves the crop from a separating assembly to the chopper and divides it into two streams. The thresher has the structure of the claimed conveyor. However, there is no motivation to use the thresher as a conveyor following the cleaning assembly.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,696 Page 4

Art Unit: 3671

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Meredith C Petravick whose telephone number is 703-305-0047.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 8:00 a.m.- 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas B Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3671

October 29, 2004